

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,116	10/31/2003	Kevin Donnelly	60809-0143-US	4990
38456	7590 05/12/2005		EXAMINER CHACE, CHRISTIAN	INER
DENIRO/F				
685 MARKET STREET, SUITE 540 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2189	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
l	10/699,116	DONNELLY ET AL.
ĺ	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christian P. Chace	2189

ontinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Nothis application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The following time periods:	nent, affidavit, or other evidence, which fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of t CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally so above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL.	he fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 et in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 m of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41 Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period	.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (s (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mater appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fin	• •
NOTE: To begin, applicants assert that two information disclosure statements	
applicants request consideration thereof (instant remarks at page 8). In fact, to The IDS received 1/12/05 has been considered by examiner, as the fee was point of the case in IFW at the time of the final action. Examiner apologizes for an received 3/17/05 and 4/11/05 were received after the final rejection was issued.	aid. Apparently, that IDS was not scanned y inconvenience as a result. However, IDS
under 37 CFR 1.97(e) must be submitted to facilitate consideration at this late appears to have been included. Should applicants not be willing or able to supmust be filed to facilitate consideration of the references contained in those IDS	ply such statement, an RCE or continuation
applicants assert at page 8 of the instant remarks that examiner suggested in the second seco	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
subject matter to amend claims 11 and 25 to include, "a re-timer to re-time date	a received from the first channel using a
first clock signal and to retransmit the data to the second channel using a second make such a suggestion. On page 8 of the previous Office action, examine	
"a re-timer configured to re-time data received from the first channel using the	
the second channel using the fourth clock signal is not taught or suggested by	the cited prior art of record." Accordingly,
using a second clock signal in the second channel would require further search page 9 of the instant remarks, applicants note that "bi-directional communication	
prosecution. Accordingly, the deletion of same and previous related arguments	
infringement, validity and/or enforceability of any claim(s) or patent(s) resulting	from, or relating to this application.
Examiner is confused. Clearly, claim language affects the interpretation of the from the claim, the interpretation MUST be affected. 37 CFR 1.75(a), e.g., cle	
conclude with a claim particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject	t matter which applicant regards as his
invention or discovery. Therefore, removing "bi-directional communication chain the claims which it was removed from. Applicants continue on page 9 of the in	
regarding the statement of allowable subject matter in the previous Office action REASONS, to extent understood, may be misconstrued and, as such, are incomposed for what they believe was miscontrued or may not be understood.	omplete." Applicants offer no explanation,
clarifying comments with respect to same unless a more specific argument or applicants continue, by asserting that the REASONS in no way bind or affect the	comment is put forth by applicants. Again,
<u>and/or enforceability of any claim(s) or patent(s) resultin from, or relating to this as these factors would seem to be more appropriate for a court of law to decid</u>	
during prosecution. Accordingly, examiner is again unable to respond to the m 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of N	Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a septence and submitted in a</li></ul>	parate, timely filed amendment canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	will be entered and an explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  2	Cht le

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Christian P. Chace Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2189

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050506